arXiv:1108.3244v3 [math.DG] 6 Jan 2012

Characterization of Tangent Cones of Noncollapsed Limits with Lower Ricci Bounds and Applications

Tobias Holck Colding and Aaron Naber*

January 9, 2012

Abstract

Consider a limit space $(M_\alpha, g_\alpha, p_\alpha) \overset{GH}{\to} (Y, d_Y, p)$, where the M^n_α have a lower Ricci curvature bound and are volume noncollapsed. The tangent cones of Y at a point $p \in Y$ are known to be metric cones $C(X)$, however they need not be unique. Let $\overline{\Omega}_{Y,p} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{GH}$ be the closed subset of compact metric spaces X which arise as cross sections for the tangents cones of Y at p. In this paper we study the properties of $\overline{\Omega}_{Y,p}$. In particular, we give necessary and sufficient conditions for an open smooth family $\Omega \equiv (X, g_s)$ of closed manifolds to satisfy $\overline{\Omega} = \overline{\Omega}_{Y,p}$ for *some* limit Y and point $p \in Y$ as above, where $\overline{\Omega}$ is the closure of Ω in the set of metric spaces equipped with the Gromov-Hausdorff topology. We use this characterization to construct examples which exhibit fundamentally new behaviors. The first application is to construct limit spaces (Y^n, d_Y, p) with $n \geq 3$ such that at p there exists for every $0 \leq k \leq n-2$ a tangent cone at p of the form $\mathbb{R}^k \times C(X^{n-k-1})$, where X^{n-k-1} is a smooth manifold not isometric to the standard sphere. In particular, this is the first example which shows that a stratification of a limit space Y based on the Euclidean behavior of tangent cones is not possible or even well defined. It is also the first example of a three dimensional limit space with nonunique tangent cones. The second application is to construct a limit space (Y^5, d_Y, p), such that at p the tangent cones are not only not unique, but not homeomorphic. Specifically, some tangent cones are homeomorphic to cones over $\mathbb{C}P^2 \sharp \overline{\mathbb{C}P}^2$ while others are homeomorphic to cones over $\mathbb{S}^4$.

1 Introduction

In this paper we are interested in pointed Gromov-Hausdorff limits $(M_\alpha, g_\alpha, p_\alpha) \overset{GH}{\to} (Y, d_Y, p)$ such that the M_α's are n-dimensional and satisfy the lower Ricci bound

$$\mathrm{Ric}(M_\alpha) \geq -(n-1)g\,, \tag{1}$$

and the noncollapsing assumption

$$\mathrm{Vol}(B_1(p_\alpha)) \geq v > 0\,. \tag{2}$$

*Department of Mathematics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139. Emails: colding@math.mit.edu and anaber@math.mit.edu. The first author was partially supported by NSF Grants DMS 0606629, DMS 1104392, and NSF FRG grant DMS 0854774 and the second author by an NSF Postdoctoral Fellowship.

For any such limit Y, by Gromov's compactness theorem [GLP, G], any sequence $r_i \to 0$ contains a subsequence r_j such that $(Y, r_j^{-1} d_Y, p) \overset{GH}{\to} (Y_p, d, p)$, where Y_p is a length space. Any such limit Y_p is said to be a tangent cone of Y at p. By the noncollapsing assumption (2) it follows from [ChC1], [ChC2] that any tangent cone must be a metric cone $Y_p \equiv C(X_p)$ over a compact metric space X_p with $\operatorname{diam} X_p \leq \pi$ and Hausdorff dimension equal to $n-1$[1]. However, by [ChC2] tangent cones of Y at p need not be unique; cf. [P2]. More precisely, it may happen that there is a different sequence $\tilde{r}_j \to 0$ such that $(Y, \tilde{r}_j^{-1} d_Y, p) \overset{GH}{\to} (C(\tilde{X}_p), d, p)$ converges to a tangent cone $C(\tilde{X}_p)$ where $\tilde{X}_p$ and X_p are not isometric. We are therefore justified in defining for $p \in Y$ the family $\overline{\Omega}_{Y,p} \equiv \{X_s\}$ of metric spaces such that $C(X_s)$ arises as a tangent cone of Y at p.

It is known that the family $\overline{\Omega}_{Y,p} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{GH}$, viewed as a subset of the space of all compact metric spaces endowed with the Gromov-Hausdorff topology, is compact and path connected. It follows from [ChC2] that the volume $\operatorname{Vol}(\cdot)$, or more precisely the $(n-1)$-dimensional Hausdorff measure, is independent of the cross section $X_s \in \overline{\Omega}_{Y,p}$ and is bounded from above by that of the round unit sphere of dimension $n-1$. That is,

$$\operatorname{Vol}(X_s) = V \leq \operatorname{Vol}(S^{n-1}(1)) \,. \tag{3}$$

Further, if $X_s \in \overline{\Omega}_{Y,p}$ is a smooth cross section, e.g. a smooth closed manifold, then because $\operatorname{Ric}(C(X_s)) \geq 0$ we have that

$$\operatorname{Ric}(X_s) \geq n-2 \,. \tag{4}$$

In fact, it is fairly clear that (4) holds in the more general sense of [LV], [S] even for singular X_s. To fully understand the family $\overline{\Omega}_{Y,p}$ we introduce one more concept, that of Ricci closability.

Definition 1.1. Let (M^{n-1}, g) be a smooth closed Riemannian manifold. We say that M is Ricci closable if for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a smooth (open) pointed Riemannian manifold $(N^n_\epsilon, h_\epsilon, q_\epsilon)$ such that:

1. $\operatorname{Ric}(N_\epsilon) \geq 0$.
2. The annulus $A_{1,\infty}(q_\epsilon) \subseteq N_\epsilon$ is isometric to $A_{1,\infty}(C(M, (1-\epsilon)g))$.

Remark 1.1. Note that if the stronger condition that there exists N with $\operatorname{Ric}(N) \geq 0$ and $A_{1,\infty}(q) \equiv A_{1,\infty}(C(M,g))$ holds, then (M,g) is certainly Ricci closable. Ricci closability acts as a form of geometric trivial cobordism condition.

Now we ask the question:

> What subsets $\Omega \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{GH}$ can arise as $\overline{\Omega}_{Y,p}$ for some limit space Y coming from a sequence $M_\alpha \to Y$ which satisfies conditions (1) and (2)?

We have written down some basic necessary conditions on $\overline{\Omega}_{Y,p}$, and our main theorem is that these conditions are sufficent as well.

[1] Without the noncollapsing assumption tangent cones need not be metric cones by [ChC2] and need not even be polar spaces by [M4].

Theorem 1.1. *Let Ω be an open connected manifold, our parameter space. Let $\{(X^{n-1}, g_s)\}_{s\in\Omega} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{GH}$, with $n \geq 3$, be a smooth family of closed manifolds such that (3) and (4) hold and such that for some s_0 we have that X_{s_0} is Ricci closable. Then there exists a sequence of complete manifolds $(M^n_\alpha, g_\alpha, p_\alpha) \overset{GH}{\to} (Y, d_Y, p)$ which satisfy (1) and (2) for which $\overline{\{X_s\}} = \overline{\Omega}_{Y,p}$, where $\overline{\{X_s\}}$ is the closure of the set $\{X_s\}$ in the Gromov-Hausdorff topology.*

Remark 1.2. In fact, in the construction we will build the M_α to satisfy $\mathrm{Ric}(M_\alpha) \geq 0$. Note here that Ω, as a parameter space, is a smooth manifold which we are viewing as being embedded $\Omega \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{GH}$ inside the space of metric spaces.

In the applications we will be interested not so much in the smooth cones $C(X_s)$ which arise as tangent cones at $p \in Y$, but in the cones $C(X)$ where X lies in the boundary of the closure $X \in \overline{\{X_s\}} \setminus \{X_s\}$. There are two primary examples we will be interested in constructing through Theorem 1.1. First, we will construct an example of a limit space (Y, d_Y, p) such that at $p \in Y$ tangent cones are highly nonunique, and in fact, for every $0 \leq k \leq n-2$ we can find a tangent cone that splits off precisely an $\mathbb{R}^k$ factor. Note this is in distinct contrast to the $\mathbb{R}^n$ case, where if one tangent cone at a point is $\mathbb{R}^n$, then so are all the other tangent cones at that point, see [C][2]. Note that if a tangent cone splits off an $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ factor, then by [ChC2] it is actually a $\mathbb{R}^n$ factor, so that the nonunique splitting of $\mathbb{R}^k$ factors for every $0 \leq k \leq n-2$ is the most degenerate behavior one can get at a single point. More precisely we have the following:

Theorem 1.2. *For every $n \geq 3$, there exists a limit space $(M^n_\alpha, g_\alpha, p_\alpha) \overset{GH}{\to} (Y, d_Y, p)$ where each M_α satisfy (1) and (2), and such that for each $0 \leq k \leq n-2$, there exists a tangent cone at p which is isometric to $\mathbb{R}^k \times C(X)$, where X is a smooth closed manifold not isometric to the standard sphere.*

This example has the, potentially unfortunate, consequence that a topological stratification of a limit space Y in the context of lower Ricci curvature can't be done based on tangent cone behavior alone. This should be contrasted to the case of Alexandrov spaces, see [P3]. This also gives an example of a three dimensional limit space with nonunique tangent cones.

Our next example is of a limit space (Y, d_Y, p), such that at $p \in Y$ there exist distinct tangent cones which are not only not isometric, but they are not even homeomorphic. More precisely we have:

Theorem 1.3. *There exists a limit space $(M^5_\alpha, g_\alpha, p_\alpha) \overset{GH}{\to} (Y^5, d_Y, p)$ of a sequence M_α satisfying (1) and (2), and such that there exists distinct tangent cones $C(X_0)$, $C(X_1)$ at $p \in Y$ with X_0 homeomorphic to $\mathbb{C}P^2 \sharp \overline{\mathbb{C}P}^2$ and X_1 homeomorphic to S^4.*

Both of the last two theorems have analogues for tangent cones at infinity of open manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature and Euclidean volume growth. We say that an open n-dimensional manifold with nonnegative Ricci curvature has Euclidean volume growth if for some $p \in M$ (hence all $p \in M$) there exists some $v > 0$ such that for all $r > 0$ we have that $\mathrm{Vol}(B_r(p)) \geq v\, r^n$.

Theorem 1.4. *We have the following:*

[2]For a limit of a sequence that collapses the situation is quite different, see [M2].

1. *For $n \geq 3$, there exists a smooth open Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) with $Ric \geq 0$ and Euclidean volume growth such that for each $0 \leq k \leq n-2$ one tangent cone at infinity of M is isometric to $\mathbb{R}^k \times C(X)$, where X is a smooth closed manifold not isometric to the standard sphere.*

2. *There exists a smooth open Riemannian manifold (M^5, g) with $Ric \geq 0$ and Euclidean volume growth that has distinct tangent cones at infinity $C(X_0)$ and $C(X_1)$ with X_0 homeomorphic to $\mathbb{C}P^2 \sharp \overline{\mathbb{C}P}^2$ and X_1 homeomorphic to S^4.*

Related to the above examples we conjecture the following:

Conjecture 1.1. *Let Y^n be a noncollapsed limit of Riemannian manifolds with lower Ricci bounds. Let $\mathcal{NU} \subseteq Y$ be the set of points where the tangent cones at the given point are not unique, then* $\dim_{Haus}(\mathcal{NU}) \leq n-3$.

Conjecture 1.2. *Let Y^n be a noncollapsed limit of Riemannian manifolds with lower Ricci bounds. Let $\mathcal{NH} \subseteq Y$ be the set of points where the tangent cones at the given point are not of the same homeomorphism type, then* $\dim_{Haus}(\mathcal{NH}) \leq n-5$.

In particular, we believe that for a four dimensional limit at each point tangent cones should be homeomorphic.

Finally, we mention that [CN1] and [CN2] contains some related results. In particular, in [CN2] we will use some of the constructions of this paper.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

The main technical lemma in the proof of Theorem 1.1 is the following.

Lemma 2.1. *Let X^{n-1} be a smooth compact manifold with $g(s)$, $s \in (-\infty, \infty)$, a family of metrics with $h_\infty < 1$ such that:*

1. *$Ric[g(s)] \geq (n-2)g(s)$.*

2. *$\frac{d}{ds} dv(g(s)) = 0$, where dv is the associated volume form.*

3. *$|\partial_s g(s)|, |\partial_s \partial_s g(s)| \leq 1$ and $|\nabla \partial_s g(s)| \leq 1$, where the norms are taken with respect to $g(s)$.*

Then there exist functions $h : \mathbb{R}^+ \to (0,1)$ and $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \to (-\infty, \infty)$ with $lim_{r\to 0} h(r) = 1$, $lim_{r\to\infty} h(r) = h_\infty$, $lim_{r\to 0} f(r) = -\infty$, $lim_{r\to\infty} f(r) = \infty$ and $lim_{r\to 0,\infty} r f'(r) = 0$ such that the metric $\overline{g} = dr^2 + r^2 h^2(r) g(f(r))$ on $(0,\infty) \times X$ satisfies $Ric[\overline{g}] \geq 0$.

Further if for some $T \in (-\infty, \infty)$ we have that $g(s) = g(T)$ for $s \leq T$ then we can pick h such that for r sufficiently small $h(r) \equiv 1$.

Proof. We only concern ourselves with the construction of f and h for $r \in (0,1)$. Extending the construction for large r is the same.

Now first we note that if $\bar{g} = dr^2 + r^2h^2(r)g(f(r))$ as above then the following equations hold for the Ricci tensor, where the primes represent r derivatives.

$$\overline{\mathrm{Ric}}_{rr} = -(n-1)\frac{(rh)''}{rh} + \frac{1}{4}g^{ab}g^{pq}g'_{ap}g'_{bq} - \frac{(rh)'}{rh}g^{ab}g'_{ab} - \frac{1}{2}g^{ab}g''_{ab}. \tag{5}$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{Ric}}_{ir} = \frac{1}{2}[\partial_a(g^{ab}g'_{bi}) - \partial_i(g^{ab}g'_{ab}) + \frac{1}{2}(g^{ab})'(\partial_i g_{ab} - g_{ib}g^{pq}\partial_a g_{pq})] \tag{6}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\mathrm{Ric}}_{ij} = \mathrm{Ric}_{ij} + r^2h^2[(-(n-2)(\frac{(rh)'}{rh})^2 - \frac{(rh)''}{rh} - \frac{1}{2}g^{ab}g'_{ab})g_{ij} \\ +(-\frac{n}{2}\frac{(rh)'}{rh} - \frac{1}{4}g^{ab}g'_{ab})g'_{ij} + \frac{1}{2}g^{ab}g'_{ai}g'_{bj}]\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

In the estimates it will turn out that terms involving either second derivatives of g or products of first derivatives of h and g cannot be controlled in general. Luckily the constant volume form tells us that

$$g^{ab}g'_{ab} = 0\,,$$

and by taking the r derivative we get that

$$g^{ab}g''_{ab} = g^{ab}g^{pq}g'_{ap}g'_{bq}\,.$$

When we substitute these into (5) above we get

$$\overline{\mathrm{Ric}}_{rr} = -(n-1)\frac{(rh)''}{rh} - \frac{1}{4}g^{ab}g^{pq}g'_{ap}g'_{bq}\,, \tag{8}$$

similar substitutions may be made for the other equations.

Now for positive numbers $E, F \leq 1$ to be chosen define the functions

$$h(r) = 1 - \epsilon(r) = 1 - \frac{E}{\log(-\log(r_0 r))} \tag{9}$$

and

$$f(r) = -F\log(\log(-\log(r_0 r)))\,, \tag{10}$$

for $r \leq r_0$ to be chosen. The following computations are straight forward:

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon(r) = \frac{E}{\log(-\log(r_0 r))}, \epsilon'(r) = \frac{E}{(\log(-\log(r_0 r)))^2(-\log(r_0 r))r}, \\ \epsilon''(r) = \frac{E(-1 + \frac{1}{(-\log(r_0 r))} + \frac{2}{\log(-\log(r_0 r))(-\log(r_0 r))})}{(\log(-\log(r_0 r)))^2(-\log(r_0 r))r^2}\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

and so

$$\frac{(rh)'}{rh} = (\frac{1}{r} - \frac{\epsilon'}{1-\epsilon}) = \frac{1}{r}(1 - \frac{E}{(1-\epsilon)(\log(-\log(r_0 r)))^2(-\log(r_0 r))}) \leq \frac{1}{r}\,, \tag{12}$$

$$\frac{(rh)''}{rh} = (-\frac{\epsilon''}{1-\epsilon} - \frac{2\epsilon'}{r(1-\epsilon)}) = \frac{-E(1+\frac{1}{(-\log(r_0 r))} + \frac{2}{\log(-\log(r_0 r))(-\log(r_0 r))})}{(\log(-\log(r_0 r)))^2(-\log(r_0 r))r^2(1-\epsilon)}$$
$$= -\frac{E}{2(\log(-\log(r_0 r)))^2(-\log(r_0 r))r^2}\,, \tag{13}$$

where the last inequality holds for $r \leq 1$ and r_0 sufficiently small. Also by our assumptions on $g(s)$ we have that $|g'| \leq |f'| \leq \frac{F}{\log(-\log(r_0 r))(-\log(r_0 r))r}$. Finally, if we plug all of this into our equations for the Ricci tensor we get, where $D = D(n)$ is a dimensional constant:

$$\overline{\mathrm{Ric}}_{rr} \geq \frac{E}{(\log(-\log(r_0 r)))^2(-\log(r_0 r))r^2} - \frac{DF^2}{(\log(-\log(r_0 r)))^2(-\log(r_0 r))^2 r^2}$$
$$\geq \frac{E}{2(\log(-\log(r_0 r)))^2(-\log(r_0 r))r^2}\,, \tag{14}$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{Ric}}_{ir} \geq \frac{-DF}{\log(-\log(r_0 r))(-\log(r_0 r))r}\,, \tag{15}$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{Ric}}_{ii} \geq r^2h^2[\frac{(n-2)\epsilon}{r^2h^2} + \frac{E}{2(\log(-\log(r_0 r)))^2(-\log(r_0 r))r^2} - \frac{DF}{\log(-\log(r_0 r))(-\log(r_0 r))r^2}$$
$$-\frac{DF^2}{(\log(-\log(r_0 r)))^2(-\log(r_0 r))^2 r^2}] \geq r^2h^2\frac{E}{\log(-\log(r_0 r))r^2}\,, \tag{16}$$

where the last inequalities on (14) and (16) require $E \geq E(n, F)$ and r_0 sufficiently small. Now it is clear from the above that we get positive Ricci in the r and M directions. The difficulty is that we have a mixed term (15) which can certainly be negative and in fact dominates the positivity of (14). To see positivity fix a point $(r, x) \in (0,1) \times M$ and assume at this point $g_{ij}(f(r)) = \delta_{ij}$. Then every unit direction at this point is of the form $\delta\hat{r} + \frac{\sqrt{1-\delta^2}}{rh}\hat{i}$ for $\delta \in [0,1]$ and we can compute:

$$\overline{\mathrm{Ric}}_{(\delta r + \frac{\sqrt{1-\delta^2}}{rh}i)(\delta r + \frac{\sqrt{1-\delta^2}}{rh}i)} \geq \frac{1}{\log(-\log(r_0 r))r^2}[\frac{E\delta^2}{2\log(-\log(r_0 r))(-\log(r_0 r))}$$
$$-\frac{2DF\delta\sqrt{1-\delta^2}}{(-\log(r_0 r))h} + E(1-\delta^2)] \tag{17}$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2\log(-\log(r_0 r))r^2}[\frac{E\delta^2}{\log(-\log(r_0 r))(-\log(r_0 r))} - \frac{DF\delta\sqrt{1-\delta^2}}{(-\log(r_0 r))} + E(1-\delta^2)\,, \tag{18}$$

where the last inequality is for $r \leq 1$ and after possibly changing D. To see this is positive for any $\delta \in [0,1]$ we break it into two cases, when $\sqrt{1-\delta^2} \geq \frac{1}{(-\log(r_0 r))}$ and $\sqrt{1-\delta^2} \leq \frac{1}{(-\log(r_0 r))}$. For the first case we see that

$$\overline{\mathrm{Ric}}_{(\delta r + \frac{\sqrt{1-\delta^2}}{rh}i)(\delta r + \frac{\sqrt{1-\delta^2}}{rh}i)} \geq \frac{\sqrt{1-\delta^2}}{\log(-\log(r_0 r))r^2}[\frac{-DF}{(-\log(r_0 r))} + \frac{E}{(-\log(r_0 r))}] \geq 0\,, \tag{19}$$

for $E \geq DF$. For the case $\sqrt{1-\delta^2} \leq \frac{1}{(-\log(r_0 r))}$ we first note that $\delta \geq \frac{1}{2}$ for $r \leq 1$ and then group the first two terms to get:

$$\overline{\mathrm{Ric}}_{(\delta r + \frac{\sqrt{1-\delta^2}}{rh}i)(\delta r + \frac{\sqrt{1-\delta^2}}{rh}i)} \geq \frac{\delta}{\log(-\log(r_0 r))(-\log(r_0 r))r^2}[\frac{E}{2\log(-\log(r_0 r))} - \frac{DF}{(-\log(r_0 r))}] \geq 0 \tag{20}$$

for $E \geq DF$ and $r \leq 1$, and r_0 sufficiently small as claimed.

Now extending f and h to the rest of r can be done in the same manner, and handling the case when $g(s) = g(T)$ stabilizes is comparatively simple and can be done with a cutoff function so that $h(r)$ is concave in this region. Note for any h_∞ we can pick F, and hence E, sufficiently small as to make the volume loss as small as we wish. □

With the above in hand it is easy to finish Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We begin by constructing what will be the limit space $Y = C(X)$ of the theorem. Let $c : (-\infty, \infty) \to \Omega$ be a smooth map such that for every open neighborhood $U \subseteq \Omega$ there are $t_a \to \infty$ such that $c(-t_a) = c(t_a) \in U$.

In the case when condition (3) is assumed we can apply a theorem of Moser [Mo], which tells us that for a compact manifold X if w_0, w_1 are volume forms with the same volume then there exists a diffeomorphism $\phi : X \to X$ such that $w_1 = \phi^* w_0$. With this in mind there is no loss in assuming that for each $s, t \in (-\infty, \infty)$ we have $dv_{g(c(s))} = dv_{g(c(t))}$, since the other conditions of the theorem are diffeomorphism invariant.

Because $g(x)$ is smooth for $x \in \Omega$ we can be sure, after possibly reparametrizing c, that $g(t) \equiv g(c(t))$ satisfies Lemma 2.1. We take

$$\bar{g} = dr^2 + r^2 h^2(r) g(f(r))$$

from this lemma. The conditions on h guarantee that the metric extends to a complete metric on the cone Y.

Now we argue that Y satisfies the conditions of the theorem, hence for each $s \in \bar{\Omega}$ that the metric cone $C(X_s)$ is realized as a tangent cone of Y. So let $r_a \to 0$ such that $c(f(r_a)) \to s$, which we can do by the conditions on f and the construction of c. If we consider the rescaled metric

$$r_a^{-2} \bar{g} \approx dr^2 + r^2 h^2(r_a r) g(f(r_a r)) \,,$$

then by the condition $\lim_{r \to 0} r f'(r) = 0$ we see that this converges to the desired tangent cone as claimed.

Finally, we wish to show that if for some $s_0 \in \Omega$ that if X_{s_0} is Ricci closable, then (Y, d) can be realized as a limit $(M_\alpha, g_\alpha, p_\alpha)$ of Riemannian manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature. For each α let $c_\alpha(t)$ be a smooth curve such that

$$c_\alpha(t) = \begin{cases} c(t) & \text{if } t \geq -\alpha \\ s_0 & \text{if } t \leq -2\alpha \end{cases} .$$

For each α let $(C(X), d_\alpha)$ be the metric space associated with the curve

$$g_\alpha(t) \equiv (1 - \alpha^{-1}) g(c_\alpha(t)) \,,$$

as by Lemma 2.1 (again, if need be we can reparametrize $c_\alpha(t)$ for $t < -\alpha$ to force $g_\alpha(t)$ to satisfy the requirements of the Lemma). Near the cone point we have that $(C(X), d_\alpha)$ is isometric to $C(X, (1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}) g(s_0))$. By the assumption of Ricci closability there exists a complete Riemannian manifold $(N_\alpha, h_\alpha, p_\alpha)$ such that

$$\mathrm{Ric}(N_\alpha) \geq 0 \,,$$

and

$$A_{1,\infty}(p_\alpha) \equiv A_{1,\infty}(C(M, (1 - \alpha^{-i}) g(s_0))) \,.$$

Thus we can glue these together to construct smooth Riemannian manifolds $(M_\alpha, g_\alpha, p_\alpha)$. This is our desired sequence. □

3 Example I

Our first application of Theorem 1.1 is to provide, for $n \geq 3$, examples of limit spaces

$$(M^n_\alpha, g_\alpha, p_\alpha) \overset{GH}{\to} (Y^n, d_Y, p)\,, \tag{21}$$

where each M_α has nonnegative Ricci curvature with $\mathrm{Vol}(B_1(p_\alpha)) > v > 0$, and such that at $p \in Y$ the tangent cones are not only nonunique, but for each $0 \leq k \leq n-2$ we can find a sequence $r^k_a \to 0$ such that

$$(Y, (r^k_a)^{-1} d_Y, p) \overset{GH}{\to} \mathbb{R}^k \times C(X^{n-k-1})\,, \tag{22}$$

where the X^{n-k-1} are smooth manifolds with $\mathrm{Vol}(X^{n-k-1}) < \mathrm{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^{n-k-1})$. That is, for each $0 \leq k \leq n-2$ we can find a tangent cone which splits off precisely an $\mathbb{R}^k$ factor. As was remarked earlier this is optimal, in that if any tangent cone were to split a $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$-factor, then by [ChC2] we would have that p is actually a regular point of Y, and in particular by [C] every tangent cone would be $\mathbb{R}^n$.

To construct our example we will build a family of smooth manifolds $(S^{n-1}, \bar{g}_s)$, and apply Theorem 1.1. To describe this family let us first define for $0 < t \leq 1$ the t-suspension, $S_t(X)$, over a smooth manifold X. That is, for $0 < t \leq 1$ and a smooth manifold X, the metric space $S_t(X)$ is homemorphic to the suspension over X and its geometry is defined by the metric

$$dr^2 + \sin^2(\frac{1}{t} r)\, d^2_X\,,$$

for $r \in (0, t\pi)$. Notice then that $S_1(X)$ is the standard metric suspension of X. Now for any $\vec{t} \in \mathcal{D} \equiv \{\vec{t} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} : 0 < t_{n-1} \leq t_{n-2} \leq \ldots \leq t_1 \leq 1\}$ we can define the metric

$$g_{\vec{t}} \equiv S_{t_1}(\ldots S_{t_{n-2}}(\mathbb{S}^1(t_{n-1})))\,,$$

where $\mathbb{S}^1(t_{n-1})$ is the circle of radius t_{n-1}. Note in particular that $g_{(t,\ldots,t)}$ is the $n-1$ sphere of radius t. More generally, we have that $g_{(1,\ldots,1,t,\ldots,t)}$, where the first k entries are 1, is isometric to the k-fold suspension of the $n-k-1$ sphere of radius t. This tells us in particular that

$$C((\mathbb{S}^{n-1}, g_{(1,\ldots,1,t,\ldots,t)})) \equiv \mathbb{R}^k \times C(\mathbb{S}^{n-k-1}(t))\,.$$

Let us define the subset $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ by the condition

$$\Omega \equiv \{\vec{t} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} : 0 < t_{n-1} \leq t_{n-2} \leq \ldots \leq t_1 < 1 \text{ and } \mathrm{Vol}(g_{\vec{t}}) = \mathrm{Vol}(g_{\frac{1}{2},\ldots,\frac{1}{2}})\}\,.$$

We have that Ω satisfies the following basic properties:

1. Ω is a smooth, connected, open submanifold of dimension $n-2$.
2. $(\frac{1}{2}, \ldots, \frac{1}{2}) \in \Omega$.

3. For each $0 \leq k \leq n-2$ $\exists$ $0 < t_k < 1$ and $\vec{t_i} \in \Omega \to (1, \dots, 1, t_k, \dots, t_k)$ such that $(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}, g_{\vec{t_i}}) \overset{GH}{\to} (\mathbb{S}^{n-1}, g_{(1,\dots,1,t_k,\dots,t_k)})$, where the first k entries are 1.

Now the collection g_s with $s \in \Omega$ almost defines our family. Notice in particular that since $g_{(\frac{1}{2},\dots,\frac{1}{2})}$ is the $n-1$ sphere of radius $\frac{1}{2}$ it is certainly Ricci closable, and that for every $0 \leq k \leq n-2$ we have by the third condition above that $\mathbb{R}^k \times C(\mathbb{S}^{n-k-1}(t_k)) \in \overline{g(\Omega)}$, where the closure is in the Gromov-Hausdorff sense. The remaining issue is simply that our metrics g_s on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ are not smooth. However, for $\vec{t} \in \Omega$ they do satisfy

$$\sec[g_{\vec{t}}] > 1 + \epsilon(\vec{t}),$$

both on the smooth part and in the Alexandrov sense on the whole, where $\epsilon(\vec{t}) \to 0$ as $\vec{t} \to \partial\Omega$. Although not smooth, the singularities are isometric spheres and may be easily smoothed in a canonical fashion by writing in normal coordinates with respect to the singular spheres, see [P1], [M1], [M3] for instance. We let $\bar{g}_{\vec{t}}$ be such a smoothing, where for each $\vec{t}$ we can then easily arrange, by smoothing a sufficiently small amount, that

$$\sec[\bar{g}_{\vec{t}}] > 1 + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon(\vec{t}) \tag{23}$$

while

$$|\mathrm{Vol}(\bar{g}_{\vec{t}}) - \mathrm{Vol}(g_{\vec{t}})| < \delta(\vec{t}), \tag{24}$$

where $\delta(\vec{t}) << \epsilon(\vec{t})$. Thus, after a slight rescaling of each $\bar{g}_{\vec{t}}$, we can guarantee that the volumes continue to coincide and that $\sec_{\vec{t}} \geq 1$ for $s \in \Omega$. This family thus satisfies Theorem 1.1, and we can construct the desired limit space $(M^n_\alpha, g_\alpha, p_\alpha) \to (Y^n, d_Y, p)$ as in the Theorem.

4 Example II

In this section we present one further example of interest. We wish to construct a complete limit space

$$(M^5_\alpha, g_\alpha, p_\alpha) \to (Y^5, d_Y, p), \tag{25}$$

where each M_α satisfy $\mathrm{Ric}_\alpha \geq 0$, $\mathrm{Vol}(B_1(p_\alpha)) \geq v > 0$, and such that at p the tangent cones of Y are not only not unique, but there exist distinct tangent cones which are not even homeomorphic. Specifically there are sequences $r_a \to 0$ and $r'_a \to 0$ with

$$\begin{aligned} (Y, r_a^{-1} d_Y, p) &\to (C(X_p), d_{Y_p}, p), \\ (Y, r_a'^{-1} d_Y, p) &\to (C(X'_p), d_{Y'_p}, p), \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

and such that homeomorphically we have

$$\begin{aligned} X_p &\approx \mathbb{C}P^2 \sharp \overline{\mathbb{C}P}^2, \\ X'_p &\approx \mathbb{S}^4. \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

To construct our example we wish to again use Theorem 1.1. We will construct a family of metrics $(\mathbb{C}P^2\sharp\mathbb{C}P^2, g_t)$ with $t \in (0,2]$ which satisfy the hypothesis of the theorem and such that

$$\lim_{t\to 0}(\mathbb{C}P^2\sharp\overline{\mathbb{C}P}^2, g_t) = (\mathbb{S}^4, g_0)\,.$$

Geometrically, $(\mathbb{S}^4, g_0)$ will contain two singular points and will look roughly like a football. On the other hand, $(\mathbb{C}P^2\sharp\mathbb{C}P^2, g_2)$ will have a sufficiently nice form that we will be able to show that it is Ricci closable. Once this family is constructed we can immediately apply Theorem 1.1 to produce our example.

The construction of the family will be done in several steps. We begin by introducing our basic ansatz. Let $\mathbb{S}^3$ be the three sphere, viewed as the Lie Group $SU(2)$, with the standard frame X, Y, Z such that

$$[X,Y] = 2Z\,,\ [Y,Z] = 2X,\ [Z,X] = 2Y\,.$$

Each piece of the various constructions will be a metric on $(r_0, r_1)\times\mathbb{S}^3$ which takes the form

$$dr^2 + A(r)^2dX^2 + B^2(r)\left(dY^2 + dZ^2\right)\,, \tag{28}$$

where $0 \le r_0 < r_1 \le \frac{\pi}{2}$. Notice that by employing various boundary data on A and B we can get these metrics to close up to smooth metrics on $\mathbb{C}P^2$, $\mathbb{C}P^2\sharp\overline{\mathbb{C}P}^2$ or $\mathbb{C}P^2\setminus\overline{D}^4$, where $\overline{D}^4$ is the closed 4-ball. The Ricci curvature of these metrics satisfy the equations

$$\mathrm{Ric}(r,r) = -\frac{A''}{A} - 2\frac{B''}{B}\,, \tag{29}$$

$$\frac{1}{|X|^2}\mathrm{Ric}(X,X) = -\frac{A''}{A} - 2\frac{A'B'}{AB} + 2\frac{A^2}{B^4}\,, \tag{30}$$

$$\frac{1}{|Y|^2}\mathrm{Ric}(Y,Y) = -\frac{B''}{B} - \frac{A'B'}{AB} - \left(\frac{B'}{B}\right)^2 + 2\frac{2B^2-A^2}{B^4}\,, \tag{31}$$

$$\frac{1}{|Z|^2}\mathrm{Ric}(Z,Z) = -\frac{B''}{B} - \frac{A'B'}{AB} - \left(\frac{B'}{B}\right)^2 + 2\frac{2B^2-A^2}{B^4}\,, \tag{32}$$

with all other Ricci terms vanishing.

4.1 Bubble Construction

Our bubbles mimic those of [P1], see also [M1], [M3]. Let $0 < b_0 \le 1$ be a constant which will be fixed at the end of the construction. For each $0 \le \epsilon \le 1$ let us consider the metric spaces $\mathcal{B}^\epsilon$ defined by

$$A^\epsilon_{\mathcal{B}}(r) \equiv b_0\frac{1}{2}\sin(2r)\,, \tag{33}$$

$$B^\epsilon_{\mathcal{B}}(r) \equiv b_0\left(\frac{1}{100} - (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{100})\epsilon\right)\cosh(\frac{\epsilon}{100}r)\,, \tag{34}$$

for $r \in (0, r_\epsilon]$, where r_ϵ is such that $A^\epsilon_{\mathcal{B}}(r_\epsilon) = B^\epsilon_{\mathcal{B}}(r_\epsilon)$. Our bubbles $\mathcal{B}^\epsilon$ are smooth manifolds with boundary which are homeomorphic to $\mathbb{C}P^2\setminus\overline{D}^4$. Notice that $0 < r_1 \le r_\epsilon \le r_0 \equiv \frac{\pi}{4}$, and that for each such ϵ the

boundary $\partial\mathcal{B}_\epsilon$ is an isometric sphere of radius between $\frac{b_0}{100}$ and $\frac{b_0}{2}$. The second fundamental forms of each boundary, $T(\partial\mathcal{B}^\epsilon)$, are uniformly positive and satisfy the estimate

$$T(\partial\mathcal{B}_\epsilon) > \lambda_\epsilon b_0\,, \tag{35}$$

where $\lambda_\epsilon \to 0$ as $\epsilon \to 0$. Further, the boundary $\partial\mathcal{B}^0$ has zero second fundamental form, and two copies of $\mathcal{B}^0$ may be glued to contruct a smooth metric on $\mathbb{C}P^2\sharp\overline{\mathbb{C}P}^2$. Note for all b_0 sufficiently small, that by (29) the Ricci curvatures of each of these spaces are uniformly positive independent of $\epsilon \in [0,1]$.

Step 1:

Here we construct the metrics $(\mathbb{C}P^2\sharp\overline{\mathbb{C}P}^2, g_t)$ for $t \in (0,1]$. The metrics will have the claimed property that as $t \to 0$, $(\mathbb{C}P^2\sharp\overline{\mathbb{C}P}^2, g_t) \to (\mathbb{S}^4, g_0)$. We will show simply that the metrics satisfy

$$\mathrm{Vol}_t > \eta > 0$$

$$\mathrm{Ric}_t > \eta > 0\,,$$

independent of t. It then holds that conditions (3) and (4) can be forced after appropriate rescalings.

For each $\ell > 0$ we first consider the football metrics $\mathcal{F}_\ell$ defined by

$$A^\ell_{\mathcal{F}}(r) \equiv \frac{1}{2}\ell\sin(2r)\,, \tag{36}$$

$$B^\ell_{\mathcal{F}}(r) \equiv \frac{1}{2}\ell\sin(2r)\,, \tag{37}$$

for $r \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$. By definition we let $\mathcal{F}_\ell(s)$ be the smooth manifold with boundary, homeomorphic to $[0,1]\times\mathbb{S}^3$, gotten by the restriction $r \in [s, \frac{\pi}{2} - s]$. For all $\delta > 0$ we can pick $\ell \leq \bar{\ell}(\delta)$ such that for all $0 < s < \frac{\pi}{4}$ the boundary of $\mathcal{F}_\ell(s)$ is a sphere of radius $\rho(s)$ and has a second fundamental form which satisfies $T(\partial\mathcal{F}_\ell(s)) > -\delta\rho(s)$.

Let us fix $\delta << \lambda_1$, where λ_1 is as in (35), and correspondingly let $\ell \leq \bar{\ell}(\delta)$. For all $0 < t \leq 1$ let g_t be the smooth metric on $\mathbb{C}P^2\sharp\overline{\mathbb{C}P}^2$ gotten by gluing $\mathcal{F}^{\bar{\ell}}(t\frac{\pi}{4})$ with $\mathcal{B}^1$ and then smoothing. As in [P1], the constraints on the second fundamental forms guarantee that this smoothing can be done so that it preserve the positive Ricci curvature. Because the smoothing is done with respect to normal coordinates on the boundary, see [P1], it is clear that this can be done smoothly in t, and that the Ricci curvature is uniformly positive independent of $0 < t \leq 1$. This follows because it holds for $\mathcal{F}^\ell$, and near the bubble $\mathcal{B}^1$ we have that $\mathrm{Ric} \to \infty$ as $t \to 0$. Notice that the metric $(\mathbb{C}P^2\sharp\overline{\mathbb{C}P}^2, g_1)$ is now just a smoothing of two copies of $\mathcal{B}^1$ glued along their boundaries.

Step 2:

Here we construct the metrics $(\mathbb{C}P^2\sharp\overline{\mathbb{C}P}^2, g_t)$ for $t \in [1,2]$. We will see later that the metric $(\mathbb{C}P^2\sharp\overline{\mathbb{C}P}^2, g_2)$ is Ricci closable. Again, we will only worry about seeing that there exists uniform positive lower bounds on the volume and Ricci curvature.

Let us now consider the family of metrics $(\mathbb{C}P^2\sharp\overline{\mathbb{C}P}^2, g_t)$, $t \in [1,2]$, defined by gluing two copies of $\mathcal{B}^{2-t}$ along the boundaries and smoothing. Again, it follows from the conditions on the second fundamental forms

and [P1] that these metrics themselves have uniformly positive Ricci curvature. Further, as we previously observed the metric space $(\mathbb{C}P^2\sharp\overline{\mathbb{C}P}^2, g_2)$ requires no smoothing, and with only a little care we see that the smoothing process can be done smoothly in t.

4.2 Closability

Now that we have constructed the 1-parameter family of metrics $(\mathbb{C}P^2\sharp\overline{\mathbb{C}P}^2, g_t)$ with $t \in (0, 2]$, we need to show that at least one of these metrics is Ricci closable, see Definition 1.1. A clear necessary condition for this is that the manifold in question be trivially cobordent, hence our choice of $\mathbb{C}P^2\sharp\overline{\mathbb{C}P}^2$. We will focus on the space $(\mathbb{C}P^2\sharp\overline{\mathbb{C}P}^2, g_2)$, whose geometry is explicitly described by the conditions

$$A^0_{\mathcal{B}}(r) \equiv \frac{b_0}{2}\sin(2r)\,, \tag{38}$$

$$B^0_{\mathcal{B}}(r) \equiv \frac{1}{2}b_0\,, \tag{39}$$

with $r \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$. We have viewed $\mathbb{C}P^2\sharp\overline{\mathbb{C}P}^2$ as the warped product $(0, \frac{\pi}{2}) \times S^3$, where at the boundary ends the Hopf fiber collapses to glue in two S^2's. It will now be more convenient to visualize $\mathbb{C}P^2\sharp\overline{\mathbb{C}P}^2$ as the nontrivial S^2 bundle over S^2. Topologically, the 5-manifold which then realizes the trivial cobordism of $\mathbb{C}P^2\sharp\overline{\mathbb{C}P}^2$ can be viewed as a nontrivial $\bar{D}^3$ bundle over S^2, where $\bar{D}^3$ is the closed 3-ball. The geometric cobordism we will build on this space, which will satisfy Definition 1.1, will be built in two pieces. These pieces will themselves then be glued together. Our ansatz for the metric construction on each piece will look similar to before, though a little more complicated. We consider metrics of the following form:

$$ds^2 + C^2(s)dr^2 + D^2(s)A^2(r)dX^2 + E^2(s)B^2(r)\left(dY^2 + dZ^2\right)\,, \tag{40}$$

where $s \in (s_0, s_1)$, $r \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$, and X, Y, Z are the standard left invariant vector fields on S^3 as before. The Ricci curvature on such spaces takes the form

$$\mathrm{Ric}(s,s) = -\frac{\ddot{C}}{C} - \frac{\ddot{D}}{D} - 2\frac{\ddot{E}}{E}\,, \tag{41}$$

$$\mathrm{Ric}(s,r) = \frac{\dot{C}}{C}\left(\frac{A'}{A} + 2\frac{B'}{B}\right) - \frac{\dot{D}}{D}\frac{A'}{A} - 2\frac{\dot{E}}{E}\frac{B'}{B}\,, \tag{42}$$

$$\frac{1}{|r|^2}\mathrm{Ric}(r,r) = -\frac{\ddot{C}}{C} - \frac{\dot{C}}{C}\left(\frac{\dot{D}}{D} + 2\frac{\dot{E}}{E}\right) - C^{-2}\left(\frac{A''}{A} + 2\frac{B''}{B}\right)\,, \tag{43}$$

$$\frac{1}{|X|^2}\mathrm{Ric}(X,X) = -\frac{\ddot{D}}{D} - C^{-2}\frac{A''}{A} - \frac{\dot{D}}{D}\left(\frac{\dot{C}}{C} + 2\frac{\dot{E}}{E}\right) - 2C^{-2}\frac{A'B'}{AB} + 2\frac{D^2A^2}{B^4E^4}\,, \tag{44}$$

$$\frac{1}{|Y|^2}\mathrm{Ric}(Y,Y) = -\frac{\ddot{E}}{E} - C^{-2}\frac{B''}{B} - \frac{\dot{E}}{E}\left(\frac{\dot{C}}{C} + \frac{\dot{D}}{D} + \frac{\dot{E}}{E}\right) - C^{-2}\frac{B'}{B}\left(\frac{A'}{A} + \frac{B'}{B}\right) + 2\frac{2B^2E^2 - A^2D^2}{B^4E^4}\,, \tag{45}$$

$$\frac{1}{|Z|^2}\mathrm{Ric}(Z,Z) = -\frac{\ddot{E}}{E} - C^{-2}\frac{B''}{B} - \frac{\dot{E}}{E}\left(\frac{\dot{C}}{C} + \frac{\dot{D}}{D} + \frac{\dot{E}}{E}\right) - C^{-2}\frac{B'}{B}\left(\frac{A'}{A} + \frac{B'}{B}\right) + 2\frac{2B^2E^2 - A^2D^2}{B^4E^4}\,, \tag{46}$$

where all other Ricci terms vanish.

Our first piece of the geometric cobordism, which is a metric space we will denote by $\mathcal{C}^1$, will be defined by the functions

$$A_2(r) \equiv \frac{b_0}{2}\sin(2r)\,, \tag{47}$$

$$B_2(r) \equiv \frac{b_0}{2}\,, \tag{48}$$

$$C_2(s) = D_2(s) = E_2(s) \equiv s\,, \tag{49}$$

with $s \in [1,\infty)$. That is, $\mathcal{C}^1$ is simply the top half of the cone over $(\mathbb{C}P^2\sharp\overline{\mathbb{C}P}^2, g_2)$. To smooth this out near the cone point we consider the metric space $\mathcal{C}^2$ defined by

$$A_3(r) \equiv \frac{b_1}{2}\sin(2r)\,, \tag{50}$$

$$B_3(r) \equiv \frac{b_1}{2}\,, \tag{51}$$

$$C_3(s) = D_3(s) \equiv \sin(2s)\,, \tag{52}$$

$$E_3(s) \equiv e_0\cosh(e_0 s)\,, \tag{53}$$

with $s \in (0, s_0)$, where s_0 defined by the condition $C_3(s_0) = E_3(s_0)$. A computation using (41) tells us that for each e_0 sufficiently small that for b_1 sufficiently small we have $s_0 > 0$, and that the underlying space having strictly positive Ricci curvature. Further, in analogy with the construction of $\mathcal{B}^\epsilon$, we have that the boundary $\partial\mathcal{C}^2$ has strictly positive second fundamental form,

$$T(\partial\mathcal{C}) > \lambda > 0\,.$$

The argument now mimicks that of **Step 1**. If we fix b_0 sufficiently small in comparison to λ, then the second fundamental form of the boundary of $\partial\mathcal{C}^2$ is more positive than the second fundamental form of $\partial\mathcal{C}^1$ is negative. Thus, by using [P1] once again and rescaling $\mathcal{C}^1$ appropriately, we may glue $\mathcal{C}^1$ with $\mathcal{C}^2$ so that after smoothing we have a manifold with nonnegative Ricci curvature. With b_0 chosen appropriate this then shows that $(\mathbb{C}P^2\sharp\overline{\mathbb{C}P}^2, g_2)$ is Ricci closable as claimed, and thus finishes the construction.

References

[ChC1] J. Cheeger and T.H. Colding, Lower bounds on Ricci curvature and the almost rigidity of warped products. Ann. of Math. (2) 144 (1996), no. 1, 189–237.

[ChC2] J. Cheeger and T.H. Colding, On the structure of spaces with Ricci curvature bounded below. I. J. Differential Geom. 46 (1997), no. 3, 406–480.

[C] T.H. Colding, Ricci curvature and volume convergence. Ann. of Math. (2) 145 (1997), no. 3, 477–501.

[CN1] T.H. Colding and A. Naber, Sharp Hölder continuity of tangent cones for spaces with a lower Ricci curvature bound and applications, preprint, http://arxiv.org/abs/1102.5003.

[CN2] T.H. Colding and A. Naber, Lower Ricci Curvature, Branching, and Bi-Lipschitz Structure of Uniform Reifenberg Spaces, preprint.

[G] M. Gromov, Metric structures for Riemannian and non-Riemannian spaces. With appendices by M. Katz, P. Pansu and S. Semmes. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2007.

[GLP] M. Gromov, J. Lafontaine, and P. Pansu, Structures metriques pour les varieties riemanniennces. Paris: Cedid/Fernand Nathan, 1981.

[LV] J. Lott and C. Villani, Ricci curvature for metric-measure spaces via optimal transport, Ann. of Math. (2) 169 (2009) No. 3, 903–991.

[M1] X. Menguy, Noncollapsing examples with positive Ricci curvature and infinite topological type. GAFA 10 (2000), no. 3, 600–627.

[M2] X. Menguy, Examples of strictly weakly regular points. GAFA 11 (2001), no. 1, 124–131.

[M3] X. Menguy, Examples of manifolds and spaces with positive Ricci curvature, Ph.D. thesis, Courant Institute, New York University 2000.

[M4] X. Menguy, Examples of nonpolar limit spaces, Amer. J. Math. 122 (2000), no. 5, 927–937.

[Mo] J. Moser, On the volume elements on a manifold, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 120 (1965), 286-294.

[P1] G. Perelman, Construction of manifolds of positive Ricci curvature with big volume and large Betti numbers. (English summary) Comparison geometry (Berkeley, CA, 1993–94), 157–163, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., 30, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1997.

[P2] G. Perelman, A complete Riemannian manifold of positive Ricci curvature with Euclidean volume growth and nonunique asymptotic cone. Comparison geometry (Berkeley, CA, 1993–94), 165–166, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., 30, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1997.

[P3] G. Perelman, Alexandrov spaces with curvatures bounded from below II. preprint, 1991.

[S] K.T. Sturm, On the geometry of metric measure spaces; I, Acta Math. 196, 1 (2006), 65–131.